From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY. WILLIAM P. CHRISTIE

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

POST OFFICE BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068

Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP

MAY 2 8 2004

PASADENA, CA 91109-7068		WRITTEN OPINION	
CASE #50576PCT. Perpo WINTER OPIN REMINDER DUE DATE		(PCT Rule 66)	
REMINDER DUE DATE		Date of Mailing	
DEABLINE		(day/month/year)	25 MAY 2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference 50576P/A599			within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing
International application No. International filing			Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US03/26002 19 August 2003 (19.0		2003)	19 August 2002 (19.08.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
IPC(7): A61N 1/18 and US Cl.: 607/3			
Applicant			
ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS			
This written opinion is the <u>first</u>	(first, etc,) drawn by t	his International Pre	liminary Examining Authority.
2. This opinion contains indication	ns relating to the following	ng items:	
I Basis of the opinion	1		
II Priority			
III Non-establishment of	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of invention			
	under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) values supporting such st		y, inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application			
		on	
VIII Certain observations	s on the international app	olication	
3. The applicant is hereby invited	to reply to this opinion	•	
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension. See rule 66.2(d).		re the expiration of that time limit, request	
	written reply, accompand the language of the am		ate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.
Also For an additiona - For the examine	al opportunity to submit	amendments, see Ru er amendments and/o	le 66.4. or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.
If no reply is filed, the internal	tional preliminary exami	nation report will be	established on the basis of this opinion.
4. The final date by which the inte examination report must be esta		le 69.2 is: 19 Decem	nber 2004 (19.12.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/U Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Authorized officer Jeffrey R. Jastrza Telephone No. (7)	de Polina



Internation	pplication No.	

PCT/US03/26002 I. Basis of the opinion 1. With regard to the elements of the international application:* the international application as originally filed the description: ___, as originally filed pages 1-15 pages NONE ____, filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of the claims: pages 16-19 _____, as originally filed pages NONE ____, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 ____, filed with the demand pages NONE ___, filed with the letter of pages NONE the drawings: pages 1-12 ____, as originally filed pages NONE ____, filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE _____, as originally filed ____, filed with the demand pages NONE pages NONE , filed with the letter of 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE the drawings; sheets/fig NONE This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."



International application No. PCT/US03/26002

		PC1/0303/2002		
V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
STATEMENT		VE0		
Novelty (N)	Claims 3-8, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 23	YES		
Novelty (14)	Claims 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 22	NO		
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 3-8, 10, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 23	YES		
	Claims 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 22	NO		
		YES		
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-13	NO		
	Claims NONE			
Claims 1-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Artic an be made or used in industry.	le 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the			
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS	······································			
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS				
NEW CITATIONS				

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US03/26002

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding b	ooxes is not sufficient)
(To be used when the space in any of the preceding of	NACS IS NOT SUFFICIENTY
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion rexpiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion Report.	may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the n will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination
	·
-	
·	
•	